

Sept 1992
TAUP- 1999-92

Physical States in Topological Coset Models.

J. SONNENSCHIN^{*}

School of Physics and Astronomy

Beverly and Raymond Sackler

Faculty of Exact Sciences

Ramat Aviv Tel-Aviv, 69978, Israel

ABSTRACT

Recent results about topological coset models are summarized. The action of a topological $\frac{G}{H}$ coset model ($\text{rank } H = \text{rank } G$) is written down as a sum of “decoupled” matter, gauge and ghost sectors. The physical states are in the cohomology of a BRST-like operator that relates these sectors. The cohomology on a free field Fock space as well as on an irreducible representation of the “matter” Kac-Moody algebra are extracted. We compare the results with those of (p, q) minimal models coupled to gravity and with (p, q) W_N strings for the case of $A_1^{(1)}$ at level $k = \frac{p}{q} - 2$ and $A_1^{(N-1)}$ at level $k = \frac{p}{q} - N$ respectively.

* Lecture delivered at the Nato workshop on “Low Dimensional Topology and Quantum Field Theory” Sept. 1992, Isaac Newton Institute of Mathematical Science.

1. FROM TQFT'S TO TOPOLOGICAL COSET MODELS

A Topological quantum field theory (TQFT)^[1] is a QFT in which all the “observables”, namely, all correlators of “physical operators”, are invariant under any arbitrary deformation of $g_{\alpha\beta}$ the metric of the underlying space-time. Given a set of physical operators $F_i[\Phi^a(x_i)]$ which are functionals of the fields $\Phi^a(x)$, $a = 1, \dots, p$ of the theory and which are invariant under the symmetries of the theory, then the theory is topological iff

$$\delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} < \prod_i F_i[\Phi^a(x)] > = 0. \quad (1)$$

for any product which is a Lorentz scalar. In particular this definition implies that all correlators are independent of distances between the operators. In a theory where the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is exact under a BRST-like symmetry operator Q , namely, $T_{\alpha\beta} = \{Q, G_{\alpha\beta}\}$, and provided that all physical operators are in the cohomology of Q then for every product defined above property (1) is obeyed. Thus, it is a TQFT. Schematically the proof of the latter statement is the following

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} < \prod_i F_i[\Phi^a(x_i)] > &= \delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} \left[\int D\Phi^a e^{iS(\Phi^a)} \prod_i F_i[\Phi^a(x_i)] \right] \\ &= \int D\Phi^a e^{iS(\Phi^a)} \int dx' T_{\alpha\beta}(x') \prod_i F_i[\Phi^a(x_i)] \\ &= \int D\Phi^a e^{iS(\Phi^a)} \int dx' \{Q, G_{\alpha\beta}\}(x') \prod_i F_i[\Phi^a(x_i)] = < \{Q, \} > = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

A two-dimensional theory which is a TQFT as well as conformal, namely, $T_{zz} = T(z)$ and $T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \bar{T}(\bar{z})$ and similarly for all the symmetry currents, is a topological conformal field theory (TCFT). Any theory which has the following algebra

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) &= \{Q, G(z)\} \\ J^{(BRST)} &= \{Q, j^\#(z)\} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $Q = \oint J^{(BRST)}(z)$ is the BRST charge is a TCFT. This algebra is referred to as the TCFT algebra^[2] and in fact it is a sub-algebra of a larger algebra which is shared by the TCFT's.^[3] An immediate consequence of the fact that T is exact is that the theory has a vanishing Virasoro anomaly.

Obviously every conformal field theory coupled to 2-D gravity is a TCFT after integration over all metric degrees of freedom. We now define the concept of a

“topological model” which is a TQFT without the introduction and integration over the metric. In more than two dimensions examples of such models are the Chern-Simons theory and the four dimensional theory which corresponds to the Donaldson invariants.^[1] In two dimensions an example is the theory of flat gauge connections.^[5] We are now ready to introduce the notion of “topological coset models” which are gaged WZW models that are also topological models.

Gravitational models in two dimensions were a subject of intensive study in recent years. Various different approaches were invoked in this domain of research. Starting from continuum Liouville theory and the light-cone world sheet formulation^[7] through matrix^[8] models, KdV hierarchies to the Kontsevich integral^[9]. Yet another possible approach is that of the topological coset models. Here we summarize some recent work^[10,11,12] in extracting the space of physical states of those models and reveal some correspondence between these models and matter models like the (p, q) minimal models coupled to two dimensional gravity

2. THE QUANTUM ACTION OF A TWISTED $\frac{G}{H}$ MODEL

The classical action of the twisted $\frac{G}{H}$ model^[13] is that of level k twisted supersymmetric G -WZW model coupled to gauge fields in the algebra of $H \in G$. In other words it is the usual $\frac{G}{H}$ model with an extra set of $(1, 0)$ anti-commuting ghosts where the dimension one fields take their values in the positive roots of $\frac{G}{H}$ and the dimension zero fields in the negative ones. The action of the model reads

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(tKS)} &= S_k(g, A, \bar{A}) + S_{(gh)}^{\frac{G}{H}} \\ S_k(g, A, \bar{A}) &= S_k(g) - \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d^2z \text{Tr}_G [g^{-1} \partial g \bar{A}_{\bar{z}} + g \bar{\partial} g^{-1} A - \bar{A} g^{-1} A g + A \bar{A}] \\ S_{gh}^{\frac{G}{H}} &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d^2z \sum_{\alpha \in \frac{G}{H}} [\rho^{+\alpha} (\bar{D}\chi)^{-\alpha} + \bar{\rho}^{+\alpha} (D\bar{\chi})^{-\alpha}] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $g \in G$ and $S_k(g)$ is the WZW action at level k . In the case that $H = G$ the model coincides with the $\frac{G}{G}$ model.^[14] In the case that Σ is topologically trivial the gauge fields can be parametrized as follows $A = ih^{-1} \partial h$, $\bar{A} = i\bar{h} \bar{\partial} \bar{h}^{-1}$ where $h(z), \bar{h}(\bar{z}) \in H^c$.^[15] For the $U(1)$ parts of H we take $A = i\partial \mathcal{H}_s$ where s goes over the $U(1)$ factors. The WZW part of the action then^[16,17,18] takes the form

$$S_k(g, A) = S_k(hg\bar{h}) - S_k(h\bar{h}) \quad (5)$$

The Jacobian of the change of variables introduces a dimension $(1, 0)$ system of anticommuting ghosts χ and ρ in the adjoint representation of H . The WZW

action thus becomes

$$S_k(g, A) = S_k(hg\bar{h}) - S_k(h\bar{h}) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr}_H [\rho \bar{D}\chi + \bar{\rho} D\bar{\chi}] \quad (6)$$

where $D\chi = \partial\chi - i[A, \chi]$. One then fixes the gauge by setting $\bar{h} = 1$ which implies $\bar{A} = 0$ and redefining $hg \rightarrow g$. We shall treat in detail the case of $G = SU(N)$ and $H = SU(N_1) \times \dots \times SU(N_n) \times U(1)^r$ with $r = N - 1 - \sum_{I=1}^n N_I + n$, the gauge fields A take the form $A = i \sum_{I=1}^n h^{(I)} \partial h^{(I)} + i \sum_{s=1}^r \partial \mathcal{H}_s$ and the twisted Kazama-Suzuki^[19] action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(tKS)} = & S_k(g) - \sum_{I=1}^n S_k(h^{(I)}) - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2z \sum_{s=1}^r \partial \mathcal{H}_s \bar{\partial} \mathcal{H}_s \\ & + \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr}_H [\rho \bar{D}\chi + \bar{\rho} D\bar{\chi}] + \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d^2z \sum_{\alpha \in \frac{G}{H}} [\rho^{+\alpha} (\bar{\partial}\chi)^{-\alpha} + \bar{\rho}^{+\alpha} (D\bar{\chi})^{-\alpha}] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

To achieve in the level of the action a complete decoupling of the matter, gauge and ghost sectors, one has to perform now a chiral rotation to eliminate the coupling between the ghost and A in (7). This can be performed by an explicit computation of the change in the measure of the ghosts or by non-abelian bosonization of the latter and using the Polyakov Wiegmann formula^[20] in the corresponding WZW actions. These two approaches lead to the same result^[12] which for $\text{rank } H = \text{rank } G$ is the following quantum action.

$$\begin{aligned} S_k = & S_k(g) + \sum_{I=1}^n S_{-(k+C_G+C_{H(I)})}(h^{(I)}) + \\ & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z \left[\sum_{s=1}^r \partial \mathcal{H}_s \bar{\partial} \mathcal{H}_s + i \sqrt{\frac{2}{k+C_G}} (\vec{\rho}_G - \vec{\rho}_H) \cdot \vec{\mathcal{H}} R \right] \\ & + \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr}_H [\bar{\rho} \partial\chi + \rho \bar{\partial}\chi] + \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d^2z \sum_{\alpha \in \frac{G}{H}} [\rho^{+\alpha} (\bar{\partial}\chi)^{-\alpha} + \bar{\rho}^{+\alpha} (\partial\bar{\chi})^{-\alpha}] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where we have normalized the $\vec{\mathcal{H}}$ fields to be free bosons, and $\vec{\rho}_G$ and $\vec{\rho}_H$ are half the sums of the positive roots of G and H respectively. The action is composed of three decoupled sectors: the matter sector, the gauge sector and the ghost sector involving ghosts in H and $\frac{G}{H}$.

3. THE ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURE OF THE TWISTED KAZAMA-SUZUKI MODEL

The next step in analyzing the twisted $\frac{G}{H}$ models is to determine under what conditions are they TCFT's. For that purpose one needs to derive the algebraic structure of these models. We start with the Kac-Moody algebra associated with the group H . We define the currents $J^{(tot)a}_I$ for each non-abelian group factor $H^{(I)}$, and for each $U(1)$ group, as

$$J^{(tot)a}_I = J^a + I^a + if_{bc}^a \rho^b \chi^c + i \sum_{\alpha \in \frac{G}{H}} f_{\alpha, -\beta}^a \rho^\alpha \chi^{-\beta}. \quad (9)$$

where J^a , I^a are the contributions of the g and h sectors respectively. The contribution of the ghost currents consists of both the H and $\frac{G}{H}$ parts to be denoted by J_H^a and $J_{\frac{G}{H}}^a$ respectively. The level of these currents vanishes

$$k_I^{(tot)} = k - (k + C_G + C_{H_I}) + 2C_{H_I} + (C_G - C_{H_I}) = 0. \quad (10)$$

For the abelian case there is a similar expression now with $C_{H_I} = 0$.

The energy momentum tensor T can be decomposed, in a way which will be found later to be natural, into $T = T^H + T^{\frac{G}{H}}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) &= \frac{1}{2(k + c_G)} g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} : J^{\tilde{a}} J^{\tilde{b}} : - \frac{1}{2(k + c_G)} g_{ab} : I^a I^b : + g_{ab} \rho^a \partial \chi^b \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k + C_G} (\vec{\rho}_G - \vec{\rho}_H) \partial \vec{I} + \sum_{\alpha \in \frac{G}{H}} \rho^{+\alpha} (\partial \chi)^{-\alpha} \\ T^H(z) &= \frac{1}{2(k + c_G)} g_{ab} : (J^a + J_{\frac{G}{H}}^a)(J^b + J_{\frac{G}{H}}^b) : - \frac{1}{2(k + c_G)} g_{ab} : I^a I^b : \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k + C_G} (\vec{\rho}_G - \vec{\rho}_H) \cdot \partial(\vec{J} + \vec{I} + \vec{J}_{\frac{G}{H}}) + g_{ab} \rho^a \partial \chi^b \\ T^{\frac{G}{H}}(z) &= \frac{1}{2(k + c_G)} g_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} : J^{\tilde{a}} J^{\tilde{b}} : - \frac{1}{2(k + c_G)} g_{ab} : (J^a + J_{\frac{G}{H}}^a)(J^b + J_{\frac{G}{H}}^b) : \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k + C_G} (\vec{\rho}_G - \vec{\rho}_H) \cdot \partial(\vec{J} + \vec{J}_{\frac{G}{H}}) + \sum_{\alpha \in \frac{G}{H}} \rho^{+\alpha} (\partial \chi)^{-\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$ go over the adjoint of G . $\vec{J}$, $\vec{I}$ and $\vec{J}_{\frac{G}{H}}$ are the Cartan-subalgebra currents given in the basis in which $[J_n^i, J_m^j] = kn\delta^{ij}\delta_{m+n}$. The total Virasoro central charge is found to be

$$c = \frac{kd_G}{k + C_G} + \sum_{I=1}^n \frac{(k + C_G + C_{H^I})d_{H^I}}{k + C_G} + r - 2d_H - (d_G - d_H) + 6\left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{k + C_G}}(\vec{\rho}_G - \vec{\rho}_H)\right]^2 = 0 \quad (12)$$

where we have used, assuming G is a simply laced group, the relations $12\rho_G^2 = d_G C_G$, and $\vec{\rho}_H \cdot (\vec{\rho}_G - \vec{\rho}_H) = 0$.

Upon gauge fixing, the gauge invariance is transformed into a BRST symmetry generated by a dimension one current $J^{(BRST)}$. This current has a dimension two partner G . These two anti-commuting currents are given by

$$\begin{aligned} J^{(BRST)} &= g_{ab}\chi^a[J^b + I^b + J_{\frac{G}{H}}^b + \frac{1}{2}J^{(gh)}_H] \\ &= g_{ab}\chi^a[J^b + I^b + \frac{i}{2}f_{cd}^b\rho^c\chi^d + if_{\gamma,-\beta}^b\rho^\gamma\chi^{-\beta}] \\ G^H &= \frac{g_{ab}}{2(k + c_G)}\rho^a[J^b - I^b + if_{\gamma,-\beta}^b\rho^\gamma\chi^{-\beta}] - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{k + C_G}(\vec{\rho}_G - \vec{\rho}_H) \cdot \partial\vec{\rho} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

It is straightforward to realize that $T^H(z)$ is BRST exact

$$T^H(z) = \{Q^{(BRST)}, G^H(z)\} \quad (14)$$

where $Q^{(BRST)} = \oint dz J^{(BRST)}$. As was shown in ref.[13] the addition of the coset ghosts turned the model into a twisted $N = 2$ model. The twisted $N = 2$ algebra is generated by $Q_{\frac{G}{H}}$ and $G_{\frac{G}{H}}$ given by their $N = 2$ counterparts^[19] with the fermions replaced with ghosts.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\frac{G}{H}} &= \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma \in \frac{G}{H}} \chi^{-\alpha}(J^\alpha + \frac{i}{2}f_{\gamma,-\beta}^\alpha\rho^\gamma\chi^{-\beta}) \\ G_{\frac{G}{H}} &= \frac{1}{k + C_G} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma \in \frac{G}{H}} \rho^\alpha(J^{-\alpha} + \frac{i}{2}f_{\gamma,-\beta}^{-\alpha}\rho^\gamma\chi^{-\beta}). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$T_{\frac{G}{H}}^{\frac{G}{H}}$ defined above is exact with respect to $Q_{\frac{G}{H}}$

$$T_{\frac{G}{H}}^{\frac{G}{H}} = \{Q_{\frac{G}{H}}^{\frac{G}{H}}, G_{\frac{G}{H}}^{\frac{G}{H}}\}. \quad (16)$$

The various Q' s and G' s obey the following anti-commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_{\frac{G}{H}}^{\frac{G}{H}}, Q^{(BRST)}\} &= \{Q_{\frac{G}{H}}^{\frac{G}{H}}, G^H\} = \{Q^{(BRST)}, G_{\frac{G}{H}}^{\frac{G}{H}}\} = 0 \\ \{Q^{(BRST)}, Q^{(BRST)}\} &= \{Q_{\frac{G}{H}}^{\frac{G}{H}}, Q_{\frac{G}{H}}^{\frac{G}{H}}\} = \{G_{\frac{G}{H}}^{\frac{G}{H}}, G_{\frac{G}{H}}^{\frac{G}{H}}\} = 0 \\ \{G^H, G^H\} &= \frac{1}{4(k + C_G)^2} f_{abc}\rho^a\rho^b J^{(tot)c} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The fact that G^H is not nilpotent is shared by several other TCFT's, in particular the $\frac{G}{H}$ models. Defining now the combined generators

$$Q = Q^{(BRST)} + Q^{\frac{G}{H}} \quad G = G^H + G^{\frac{G}{H}} \quad (18)$$

we find that (for $\text{rank } G = \text{rank } H$) the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) &= \{Q, G(z)\} \\ J^{(BRST)} &= \{Q, j^\#(z)\} \\ J^{(tot)a} &= \{Q, \rho^a\} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $J^{(tot)a}$ denotes a current in the algebra of H and $j^\#(z) = g_{ab}\rho^a\chi^b + \sum_{\alpha \in \frac{G}{H}} \rho^{+\alpha}\chi^{-\alpha}$. Hence, from the algebraic structure one finds that the twisted $\frac{G}{H}$ models are indeed TCFT's provided that $\text{rank } G = \text{rank } H$.

4. BRST COHOMOLOGY AND PHYSICAL STATES

Next we proceed to extract the space of physical states of the model. We take as our definition of a physical state a state in the cohomology of $Q = Q^{(BRST)} + Q^{\frac{G}{H}}$, namely, $|phys\rangle \in H^*(Q)$. In the case that the spectral sequence^[21] of the double complex of $Q^{(BRST)}$ and $Q^{\frac{G}{H}}$ degenerates at the E_2 term, this is the same as taking one cohomology and then the other. The extraction of the physical states was worked out in detail in refs. [10,11,12]. The procedure for the twisted $\frac{G}{H}$ is very much like the one of the $\frac{G}{G}$ models. Hence, we summarize here the analysis of the $A_1^{(1)} \frac{G}{G}$ model. Expanding the currents J^a , I^a and the $(1,0)$ ghost fields ρ^a , χ^a in modes and inserting them into eqn. (13) we obtain the following BRST charge

$$Q = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [g_{ab}\chi_n^a(J_{-n}^b + I_{-n}^b) - \frac{1}{2}f_{abc} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} : \chi_{-n}^a \chi_{-m}^b \rho_{n+m}^c :] \quad (20)$$

where $: :$ denotes normal ordering namely putting modes with negative subscripts to the left of those with positive ones and ρ_0^a to the right of χ_0^a . Since both $J^{(tot)a}_n$ and L_n are Q exact namely

$$\{Q, \rho_n^a\} = J^{(tot)a}_n \quad \{Q, G_n\} = L_n \quad (21)$$

it follows that

$$L_0|phys\rangle = 0 \quad J^{(tot)0}_0|phys\rangle = 0 \quad (22).$$

For non-vanishing eigenvalues of L_0 and $J^{(tot)0}_0$ it is easy to see that $|phys\rangle$ is in the image of Q which cannot be true for a non-trivial $|phys\rangle \in H^*(Q)$.

Let us now select a sub-space $\mathcal{F}(J,I)$ of the space of physical states on which $\rho_0^0 = 0$ in addition to $J^{(tot)}_0^0 = L_0 = 0$. On this sub-space Q which may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \chi_0^0 J^{(tot)}_0^0 + M \rho_0^0 + \hat{Q} \\ M &= -\frac{1}{2} f_{0bc} \sum_{n \neq 0} : \chi_{-n}^b \chi_n^c : - \frac{1}{2} f_{0bc} : \chi_0^b \chi_0^c :, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

equals $\hat{Q}$. We thus start by deducing $H^*(\hat{Q})$ the cohomology of $\hat{Q}$. The states which correspond to the latter are built on a highest weight state vacuum $|J, I >$. A convenient way to handle the J^a and I^a currents is to invoke the following “bosonization”,^[22]

$$\begin{aligned} J_n^+ &= \beta_n \\ J_n^0 &= \sum_m : \beta_m \gamma_{n-m} : + \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} \phi_n \\ J_n^- &= - \sum_{k,m} : \gamma_m \gamma_k \beta_{n-m-k} : - \sqrt{2} a \sum_m \phi_m \gamma_{n-m} + k n \gamma_n \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $a^2 = k + 2$. The fields β and γ form a bosonic $(1, 0)$ system with $[\gamma_m, \beta_n] = \delta_{m+n}$. The modes ϕ_n correspond to the dimension one operator $i\partial\phi$ and they obey $[\phi_m, \phi_n] = m\delta_{m+n}$. In the I sector we use an inverted bosonization $I_n^0 \leftrightarrow -I_n^0$, $I_n^+ \leftrightarrow I_n^-$, expressed by operators with tildes $I_n^- = \tilde{b}_n$ etc, and also take $k \rightarrow -k - 4$. It is easy to realize that the highest weight conditions are obeyed only provided $\beta_0 |J, I > = \tilde{\gamma}_0 |J, I > = 0$. The normal ordering, however, is with respect to the usual $SL(2, R)$ invariant vacuum $\beta_0 |J, I > = \tilde{\beta}_0 |J, I > = 0$. The next step following ref. [23] is to assign a degree to the various fields. The idea is to decompose $\hat{Q}$ into terms of different degrees in such a way that there is a nil-potent operator that carries the lowest degree which is zero. An assignment that obeys this requirement is the following

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\chi) &= \deg(\gamma) = \deg(\tilde{\gamma}) = \deg(\phi^+) = 1 \\ \deg(\rho) &= \deg(\beta) = \deg(\tilde{\beta}) = \deg(\phi^-) = -1 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The spectral sequence decomposition of $\hat{Q}$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Q} &= Q^{(0)} + Q^{(1)} + Q^{(2)} + Q^{(3)} \\ Q^{(0)} &= \sum_n \chi_{-n}^- \beta_n + 2a \sum_{n \neq 0} \chi_{-n}^0 \phi_n^- + \sum_n \chi_{-n}^+ \tilde{\beta}_n.\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

where $\phi_n^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_n \pm i\tilde{\phi}_n)$. $Q^{(0)}$ is nil-potent on the entire Fock space.

We proceed now to compute $H^*(Q^{(0)})$, since, if there is a finite number of degrees for each ghost number, according to the Lemmas of ref. [23] $H^*(Q^{(0)})$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of $\hat{Q}$. Recall that states in $\mathcal{F}(J, I)$ are annihilated by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{L}_0 &= \hat{L}_0 + \frac{1}{k+2}[J(J+1) - I(I+1)] \\ J^{(tot)}_0^0 &= J + I + 1 + \widehat{J^{(tot)}}_0^0.\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

It is easy to check that $\hat{L}_0$ and $\widehat{J^{(tot)}}_0^0$ are exact under $Q^{(0)}$ and therefore both of them annihilate the states in the cohomology of $Q^{(0)}$ on $\mathcal{F}(J, I)$. Hence, there are no excitations in $H^*(Q^{(0)})$. Moreover, since $L_0 = 0$, states in the latter must have either $I = J$ or $I = -(J+1)$. Let us now extract the zero modes contributions to the cohomology. The general structure of these states is

$$|n_\gamma, n_{\tilde{\beta}}, n_+, n_- \rangle = (\gamma_0)^{n_\gamma} (\tilde{\beta}_0)^{n_{\tilde{\beta}}} (\chi_0^+)^{n_+} (\chi_0^-)^{n_-} |I, J \rangle\tag{28}$$

where obviously $n_+, n_- = 0, 1$ and $n_\gamma, n_{\tilde{\beta}}$ are non-negative integers. It is now straightforward to deduce the Kernel and the Image of $Q^{(0)}$. It turns out that the only possible state in the relative cohomology of $Q^{(0)}$ is $\chi_0^+ |I, J \rangle$, and from the condition $J^{(tot)}_0^0 = 0$ we find a state only provided that $I = -J - 1$ and then

$$H^{rel}(Q^{(0)}) = \{\chi_0^+ | - (J+1), J \rangle\}.\tag{29}$$

The passage from the relative cohomology to the absolute one is then given by

$$H^{abs}(Q^{(0)}) \simeq H^{rel}(Q^{(0)}) \oplus \chi_0^0 H^{rel}(Q^{(0)})\tag{30}$$

in the same way as in ref. [23]. We conclude that the cohomology of Q on the full Fock space includes states of arbitrary J with a corresponding $I = -(J+1)$ and with ghost number $G = 0, 1$, where we have shifted the definition of the ghost-number so that the state $\chi_0^+ |I, J \rangle$ is at $G = 0$. There is only one state at each ghost number.

For the general case of a twisted $\frac{G}{H}$ the generalization of the bosonization of eqn. (24) is performed in terms of the scalars $\phi_i, i = 1, \dots, \text{rank } G$ and the commuting $(1, 0)$ systems $(\beta\alpha, \gamma\alpha)$ ($\alpha > 0$) ($i \leq j$).^[11] Following a similar derivation as that of the $SL(2, R)$ case one finds^[25,23] the corresponding relative cohomology

$$H^{rel}(Q) = \{ \prod_{\alpha \in H, \alpha > 0} \chi_0^\alpha |\vec{J}, \vec{I}\rangle; \quad \vec{J} + \vec{I} + 2\vec{\rho}_H = 0 \}. \quad (31)$$

where $\vec{\rho}_H$ is half the sum of the positive roots of H . Since the scalars ϕ_i in the J sector have a background charge of $\frac{i}{\sqrt{k+C_G}}\vec{\rho}_G \cdot \partial^2 \vec{\phi}$ while those in the I sector have a background charge of $\frac{i}{\sqrt{k+C_G}}(2\vec{\rho}_H - \vec{\rho}_G) \cdot \partial^2 \vec{\mathcal{H}}$, we find that the weight of this state is $L_0 = \frac{1}{k+C_G}[\vec{J} \cdot (\vec{J} + 2\vec{\rho}_G) - \vec{I} \cdot (\vec{I} + 2(2\vec{\rho}_H - \vec{\rho}_G))]$ for $\vec{J} + \vec{I} + 2\vec{\rho}_H = 0$. This state corresponds to one of the “tachyon” states of the W_N models based on $G = SU(N)$.^[26] The absolute cohomology (without the restriction $\rho_0^i = 0$) is

$$H^{abs}(Q) \simeq H^{rel}(Q) \oplus \sum_{\{k_1, \dots, k_l\}} \chi_0^{k_1} \dots \chi_0^{k_l} H^{rel}(Q) \quad (32)$$

where the sum is over $\{k_1, \dots, k_l\}$ which are all possible subsets of the set $1, \dots, \text{rank } G$. Thus, each state in the relative cohomology gives rise to $2^{\text{rank } G}$ states in the absolute cohomology.

5. IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATION AND THE BRST COHOMOLOGY

So far we have analyzed the cohomology on the whole Fock Space. The next step in the extraction of the physical states is to pass from the cohomology on the Fock space to the irreducible representations of the level k Kac-Moody algebra in the J sector. To simplify the discussion we continue to address the $A_1^{(1)} \frac{G}{G}$ model. In general a representation with highest weight L is reducible iff $2L + 1 = r - (s - 1)(k + 2)$ where r and s are integers with either $r, s \geq 1$ or $r < 0, s \leq 0$.^[27] In the $\frac{G}{G}$ model with $G = A_1^{(1)}$ we therefore have reducible representation for $J_{r,s}$ and $I_{r,s}$ where

$$2J_{r,s} + 1 = r - (s - 1)(k + 2) \quad 2I_{r,s} + 1 = r + (s - 1)(k + 2) \quad (33)$$

Note that $J_{r,s} = -I_{-r,s} - 1$. Completely irreducible representations, which have infinitely many null vectors, appear provided that $k + 2 = \frac{p}{q}$ for p and q positive integers which can be chosen with no common divisor. In this case $I_{r,s} = I_{r+p,s-q}$ and $J_{r,s} = J_{r+p,s+q}$. It is, thus, enough to analyze the domain $1 \leq s \leq q$, and we

will choose $1 \leq r \leq p-1$. This choice corresponds to the double line embedding diagram.^[28] The states corresponding to $r = p$ have a single line embedding diagram. It was found that^[28] for the case of the double line one can construct the irreducible representation which is contained in $\mathcal{F}_{r,s}$, the Fock space built on $|J_{r,s}\rangle$. This is achieved via the cohomology of an operator Q_J which acts on $\mathcal{F}_{r,s}$ the union of the Fock spaces that correspond to $J_{r+2lp,s}$ and $J_{-r+2lp,s}$ for every integer l . It turns out^[28] that the relevant information is encoded in $H^0(\mathcal{F}_{r,s}, Q_J)$ and all other levels of the cohomology vanish. The cohomology is only in the J sector and not in the I sector just as there is no use of the cohomology of the Liouville sector in models of $c < 1$ matter coupled to gravity^[23]. Thus, the space of physical states of ghost number n is given by

$$H_{rel}^{(n)}[H^{(0)}(\mathcal{F}_{r,s}, Q_J) \times \mathcal{F}_I \times \mathcal{F}^G, Q] \quad (34)$$

where $\mathcal{F}^G$ is the ghosts' Fock space built on the new vacuum $|0\rangle_G$. Since Q_J acts only in the J sector we can rewrite $H_{rel}^{(n)}$ as

$$H_{rel}^{(n)}[H^{(0)}(\mathcal{F}_{r,s} \times \mathcal{F}_I \times \mathcal{F}^G, Q_J), Q]. \quad (35)$$

Moreover, since $\{Q, Q_J\} = 0$ one can use theorems^[21] about double cohomologies and write this as isomorphic to

$$H^{(n)}[H_{rel}^{(0)}(\mathcal{F}_{r,s} \times \mathcal{F}_I \times \mathcal{F}^G, Q), Q_J]. \quad (36)$$

The theorems^[21] apply only provided that each cohomology separately is different from zero only for one single degree as was shown above. In fact, we have already calculated $H_{rel}^{(0)}(\mathcal{F}_{r,s} \times \mathcal{F}_I \times \mathcal{F}^G, Q)$ since $\mathcal{F}_{r,s}$ is the union of free Fock spaces. Hence the result is that the latter has one state if the Fock space of $J = -I - 1$ is in $\mathcal{F}_{r,s}$, and it is empty otherwise. For each $J_{r,s}$ we get states at $I = -J_{r+2lp,s} - 1$ and $I = -J_{-r+2lp,s} - 1$ where their ghost number is equal to the corresponding degree in the complex of ref. [28]. For each such $J_{r,s}$ there is an infinite set of states with $I = I_{-r-2lp,s}$ $G = -2l$ and $I = I_{r-2lp,s}$ $G = 1 - 2l$ for every integer l .

Following the same steps for $G = SL(N, R)$ one finds for each maximal weight J of G_k a *rank* G dimensional vector of states. This implies also that there is an *rank* $G - 1$ dimensional lattice of states for each ghost number and J . The latter situation follows from the $N - 1$ dimensional lattice of Fock spaces which are derived by Weyl reflections as well as shifts by linear combinations of roots.^[24] The physical states in the $G = SL(2, R)$ model are characterized by $\hat{L}_0$ and $J^{(tot)}_0$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= J_{r,s}, \quad I = I_{-r-2lp,s}, & J &= J_{r,s}, \quad I = I_{r-2lp,s}, \\
G &= -2l & G &= 1 - 2l \\
\hat{L}_0 &= l^2 pq + l(qr - sp) + lp & \hat{L}_0 &= l^2 pq - l(qr + sp) + r(s - 1) + lp \\
J^{(\hat{tot})}_0 &= lp & J^{(\hat{tot})}_0 &= lp - r
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

vanishes and our ghost vacuum has $J_0 = 1$. For integer k we have $J = 0, \dots, \frac{k}{2}$. Let us now examine the index interpretation of the torus partition function.^[17] We want to check now whether it can be rewritten as a trace over the space of the physical states. One has to insert the values of $\hat{L}_0$ and $\hat{J}^0_{(tot)}$ of eqn. (37) into $Tr[(-)^G q^{\hat{L}_0} e^{i\pi\theta\hat{J}^0_{(tot)}}]$, with $\hat{J}^0_{(tot)}$ and G shifted to the values defined for an $SL(2)$ invariant vacuum. Inserting these values for every l , and adding the values of the level and the eigenvalue of $J^{(tot)0}$ of the $|J\rangle$ vacuum, namely $\hat{L}_0 \rightarrow \hat{L}_0 + \frac{J(J+1)}{k+2}$ and $\widehat{J^{(tot)}}^0 \rightarrow \widehat{J^{(tot)}}^0 + J$, one finds

$$Tr[(-)^G q^{\hat{L}_0} e^{i\pi\theta\hat{J}^0_{(tot)}}] = 2iq^{\frac{-1}{4(k+2)}} e^{-i\pi\frac{\theta}{2}} M_{k,J}(\tau, \theta). \tag{38}$$

where

$$M_{k,j}(\tau, \theta) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(k+2)(l+\frac{j+\frac{1}{2}}{k+2})^2} \sin\{\pi\theta[(k+2)l + j + \frac{1}{2}]\} \tag{39}$$

is the numerator of the character which corresponds to the highest weight state J . We have, thus, rederived using the BRST cohomology and the index of above, the path integral results of ref. [17], for the partition function.

6. COMPARISON WITH GRAVITATIONAL MODELS

The main motivation to analyse the twisted $\frac{G}{H}$ models was the idea that they will be found to be equivalent to certain gravitational models. More specifically, we expect a correspondence between the $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$ twisted $\frac{G}{H}$ models and W_N strings and, in particular, between the case of $G = SL(2)$ and minimal models coupled to gravity. Let us now check whether one can map the topological coset models into string models. In fact, for reasons that will be clarified shortly, it is clear that the comparison to the gravitational models should be done with the topological coset models only after twisting their energy-momentum tensor. For $G = SL(N, R)$ the

latter is given by

$$T(z) \rightarrow \tilde{T}(z) = T(z) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \partial J^{(tot)i}(z) \quad (40)$$

Obviously since $T(z)$ and $\partial J^{(tot)i}(z)$ are BRST exact so is $\tilde{T}(z)$. Thus, the total Virasoro anomaly is unchanged. However, the contribution of each sector to c is modified as follows

$$c_J \rightarrow \tilde{c}_J = c_J - d_G C_G k \quad c_{H^{(I)}} \rightarrow \tilde{c}_{H^{(I)}} = c_{H^{(I)}} + d_{H^{(I)}} C_{H^{(I)}} (k + C_G + C_{H^{(I)}}), \quad (41)$$

and the shift in the ghost contribution can be found from a similar expression or from the fact that the sum of the shifts vanishes. We consider here for simplicity the case of $G = SL(N, R)$. The twisted ghost sector includes the ghosts of a W_N gravity, namely, a sequence of ghosts with dimensions $(i, 1 - i)$ for $i = 2, \dots, N$ contributing $\tilde{c}_{Wgh} = -2(N - 1)[(N + 1)^2 + N^2]$ to $\tilde{c}$. The rest of the ghosts are paired with commuting fields of the same conformal structure coming from the J and I sectors. For $N = 2$ one finds $\tilde{c}_{Wgh} = -26 - 2\#_{pairs}$ where there are two pairs in the $\frac{G}{G}$ model and one in the $\frac{SL(2)}{U(1)}$ case. The net matter degrees of freedom have the following Virasoro anomaly $c = \tilde{c}_J - \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{c}_{(gh)} - \tilde{c}_{Wgh}] = (N - 1)[(2N^2 + 2N + 1) - N(N + 1)(t + \frac{1}{t})]$ which is exactly that of a (p, q) minimal W_N matter sector^[29] provided $t \equiv k + N = \frac{p}{q}$. This was explicitly verified by analyzing the dimensions and contributions to $\tilde{c}$ of the various free fields in the J sector^[11]. The expression for c reduces to that of the p, q minimal model (plus 2) for $N = 2$. Before twisting the contribution of the set of all ϕ^i to c is $c_\phi = (N - 1) - \frac{12 \sum_{i,j} g^{ij}}{k + N} = -(N - 1)[\frac{(N^2 + N)}{t} - 1]$. Due to the twisting the $(\beta(ij), \gamma(ij))$ ^[30] systems acquire dimensions of $(i - j, j - i + 1)$ and thus there are $N - 1$ systems of dimension $(0, 1)$, $N - 2$ pairs of fields of dimension $(-1, 2)$ up to one pair of dimensions $(2 - N, N - 1)$. The ϕ central charge is modified to

$$\tilde{c}_\phi = (N - 1)[(2N^2 + 2N + 1) - N(N + 1)(t + \frac{1}{t})] \quad (42)$$

which is identical to the net matter contribution to c given above and hence the ϕ^i fields are in fact those of the W_N model. A further indication of the latter equivalence is the dimensions of the ϕ fields which correspond to the maximal weights $\lambda^j = \sum_k g^{jk}[(r_k - 1) - t(s_k - 1)]$ which after the twisting are

$$\Delta_{r_1, \dots, r_{N-1}, s_1, \dots, s_{N-1}} = \frac{12 \sum_{i,j} g^{ij}(ps_i - qr_i)(ps_j - qr_j) - N(N^2 - 1)(p - q)^2}{24pq} \quad (43)$$

as in the W_N minimal models.^[29] If one parametrizes the I sector in the same way

as the J sector, then clearly the modified dimensions of the $(\tilde{\beta}(ij), \tilde{\gamma}(ij))$ fields are the same as those without tilde. From the point of view of their contribution to $\tilde{c}$ the $\tilde{\phi}^i$ fields are then identical to those of W_N gravity. This is achieved by replacing t with $-t$ in $\tilde{c}_\phi$ defined above. With respect to the untwisted T the ghosts (ρ^a, χ^a) are all of dimension $(1, 0)$. The ghost part of $\tilde{T}(z)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}^{(gh)}(z) = & g_{ab} : \rho^a \partial \chi^b : \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N-1} (j-i+1) \partial [: \rho^{-(ij)}(z) \chi^{+(ij)}(z) : - : \rho^{+(ij)}(z) \chi^{-(ij)}(z) :]. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

It is thus obvious that the members of the Cartan sub-algebra ρ^i, χ^i remain $(1, 0)$ fields. On the other hand the pair $(\chi^{+(ij)}, \rho^{-(ij)})$ carries now dimensions $(i-j-1, 2+j-i)$ and $(\chi^{-(ij)}, \rho^{+(ij)})$ carry dimensions $(j-i+1, i-j)$. Altogether one finds for the (χ, ρ) ghosts $N-1$ pairs of fields of dimension $(0, 1)$ coming from the Cartan sub-algebra, $N-1$ pairs of dimension $(-1, 2)$ $N-2$ pairs of dimension $(-2, 3)$ up to a pair of dimension $(1-N, N)$, and similarly $N-1$ pairs of dimension $(1, 0)$ up to one pair of $(N-1, 2-N)$. It is now clear that when the dust settles the $\frac{G}{G}$ model of $SL(N, R)$ at level $k = \frac{p}{q} - N$ has the field content of a minimal $W_N(p, q)$ model coupled to W_N gravity plus pairs of “topological sectors” namely pairs of commuting and anti-commuting $(i, 1-i)$ systems for $i = 1, \dots, N-1$.

Next we want to compare the partition function of the (p, q) model to that of $\frac{G}{G}$ for $G = SL(2)$ and $k = \frac{p}{q} - 2$. Comparing eqn. (38) to the numerator of the character of the minimal model it is clear that correspondence might be achieved only provided one takes $\tau = -\frac{1}{2}\theta$. Recall that in the topological coset models we integrate in the path-integral only over θ (and not over τ) and the result is τ independent.^[17] In this case the numerator of the character in the minimal model which is proportional to $Tr[(-1)^G q^{\hat{L}_0}]$ is mapped into $Tr[(-1)^{G_u} \hat{L}_0 - \widehat{J^{(tot)}}_0^0]$ in the $\frac{G}{G}$ model. The integration over the moduli parameter of the torus is therefore replaced by the integration over the moduli of flat gauge connection. We thus need to compare the number of states at a given level and ghost number in the minimal models with the corresponding numbers at the same ghost number and “twisted level”. From eqn. (37) we read

$$\begin{aligned} I = I_{r-2lp, s} \quad G = -2l \quad \hat{L}_0 - \widehat{J^{(tot)}}_0^0 &= l^2 pq + l(qr - sp) \\ I = I_{r-2lp, s} \quad G = 1-2l \quad \hat{L}_0 - \widehat{J^{(tot)}}_0^0 &= l^2 pq - l(qr + sp) + rs \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

In the minimal models we have states built on vacua labeled by the pair r, s with $1 \leq r \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq s \leq q-1$ with $ps > qr$ which have dimension $h_{r,s} = \frac{(qr-sp)^2 - (p-q)^2}{4pq}$. The levels of the excitations are $\hat{L}_0 = \Delta - h_{r,s}$.

For $G = 2l + 1$ one has $\Delta = A(l) = \frac{[(2pql+qr+sp)^2-(q-p)^2]}{4pq}$ and for $G = 2l$ $\Delta = B(l) = \frac{[(2pql-qr+sp)^2-(q-p)^2]}{4pq}$.^[31,32] Hence, the contribution of the various levels to the partition function are identical to those of $\hat{L}_0 - \widehat{J^{(tot)}}_0^0$ in eqn. (45) for the same ghost numbers and the respective vacua satisfy $J = \sqrt{\frac{p}{2q}}p_m$ and $I = -\sqrt{\frac{p}{2q}}p_L$ where p_m and p_L are the matter and Liouville momenta respectively. It is thus clear that for a given r, s we get the same number of states with the same ghost number parity in the two models and thus the two partition functions on the torus are in fact identical. To obtain the partition function of the (p, q) models coupled to 2d gravity we have restricted r and s as follows $1 \leq s \leq q - 1$ and $1 \leq r \leq p - 1$. It is interesting to note that we could include in the sum over r and s which appears in the partition function also the terms with $r = p$. Those terms arise from the states which have a single line as their embedding diagram. The $r = p$ terms cancel between themselves and do not change the result for the partition function.

7. DISCUSSION

The description of gravitational (W_N gravity) models via topological coset models is far from being complete. A comparison of the correlators of the latter with those of other formulations of 2d gravity (W_N gravity) is still missing. Another challenge is to extract information on the behaviour of the models on higher genus Riemann surfaces. The differences between models which correspond to various H for a given G are only partially understood. Possible flows between these models and in general “renormalization” flows in the space of TCFT’s are under current study. The precise relations between the present formulation and the known results on chiral rings of $N = 2$ ^[33] theories deserve further investigation.

REFERENCES

1. E. Witten, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **117** (1988) 353.
2. R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, and H. Verlinde, *Nucl. Phys.* **B352** (1991) 59;
“Notes On Topological String Theory And 2D Quantum Gravity,” Princeton
preprint PUPT-1217 (1990).
3. J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz , “ Novel Symmetries of Topological
Conformal Field Theory”, TAUP- 1898-91 August 1991.
4. E. Witten *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989) 351.
5. D. Montano, J. Sonnenschein , *Nucl. Phys.* **B324** (1989) 348, J. Sonnenschein
Phys. Rev. **D42** (1990) 2080.
6. F. David *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3** (1988) 1651;
J. Distler and H. Kawai *Nucl. Phys.* **B321** (1989) 509.
7. A. M. Polyakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A2** (1987) 893.
8. D. Gross and A. A. Migdal *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 127;
M. Douglas and S. Shenker *Nucl. Phys.* **B335** (1990) 635;
E. Brezin and V. A. Kazakov, *Phys. Lett.* **236B** (1990) 144
9. M. Kontsevich *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992) 1.
10. O. Aharony, O. Ganor N. Sochen J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz , “Phys-
ical states in the $\frac{G}{G}$ models and two dimensional gravity”, TAUP- 1947-92
April 1992.
11. O. Aharony, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz , “ $\frac{G}{G}$ models and W_N
strings” , TAUP- 1977-92 June 1992 (to be published in *Phys. Lett.* **B**).
12. O. Aharony, O. Ganor J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz , “On the twisted
 $\frac{G}{H}$ topological models”, TAUP- 1990-92 August 1992.
13. E. Witten, “On Holomorphic Factorization of WZW and Coset Models”
IASSNS-91-25.
14. M. Spiegelglas and S. Yankielowicz “ $\frac{G}{G}$ Topological Field Theories by
Cosetting G_k TAUP-1934 ; “Fusion Rules As Amplitudes in G/G Theories,”
Technion PH- 35-90
15. For a comment about a possible difficulty in case of non-compact groups see
ref. [11]
16. K. Bardacki, E. Rabinovici, and B. Serin *Nucl. Phys.* **B299** (1988) 151.
17. K. Gawedzki and A. Kupianen , *Phys. Lett.* **215B** (1988) 119, *Nucl. Phys.*
B320 (1989) 649.

18. D. Karabali and H. J. Schnitzer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B329** (1990) 625.
19. Y. Kazama and H. Suzuki, *Nucl. Phys.* **B321** (1989).
20. A. Polyakov and P. B. Wigmann *Phys. Lett.* **131B** (1983) 121.
21. R. Bott L. W. Tu “Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology”, Springer-Verlag NY 1982.
22. M. Wakimoto, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **104** (1989) 605.
23. P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy and K. Pilch Cern Preprint TH-6162/91
24. P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy and K. Pilch *Phys. Lett.* **234B** (1990) 297, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **131** (1990) 125.
25. B. Feigin and E. Frenkel *Phys. Lett.* **246B** (1990) 75.
26. M. Bershadsky, W. Lerche, D. Nemeschansky and N. P. Warner “A BRST operator for non-critical W strings” CERN-TH 6582/92.
27. V. G. Kac and D. A. Kazhdan *Adv. Math.* **34** (1979) 79.
28. D. Bernard and G. Felder *Comm. Math. Phys.* **127** (1991) 145.
29. Fateev and Lukeanov *Int. Jour. of Mod. Phys.* **A31** (1988) 507.
30. For the definition of these fields see ref. [11]
31. M. Bershadsky and I. Klebanov *Nucl. Phys.* **B360** (1991) 559.
32. B. Lian and G. Zuckerman *Phys. Lett.* **254B** (1991) 417.
33. D. Gepner *Comm. Math. Phys.* **141** (1990) 381.